

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/660,257	09/12/2000		Don Wiley Smith	22601-P002US	22601-P002US , 6780	
7	7590	12/05/2001				
Winstead Sec		linick PC	EXAMINER			
5400 Renaissance Tower 1201 Elm Street				GELLNER,	IEFFREY L	
Dallas, TX 7:	5270			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3643		
				DATE MAILED: 12/05/2001	DATE MAILED: 12/05/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	M			
	•	09/660,257	SMITH ET AL.	∇			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•			
		Jeffrey L. Gellner	3643				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence addres	:s			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commu. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S	eptember 2000 .	•				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
4)	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) <u>18, 20, 21</u> is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 22-25</u> is/are rejected.	,	PETER M. POON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM!	NED			
	Claim(s) @ is/are objected to.	•	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	PmP				
Application	on Papers		<i>////</i>				
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>12 September 2000</u> is/ai	re: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ ob	jected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		je			
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional app	lication).			
•	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedures the company of the foreign language procedures the company of the translation of the foreign language procedures the company of the						
Attachment	-						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152				

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because:

In Fig. 1 "holes 101a,b" (see Specification page 6 line 16) are not marked as such.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 10-17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claim 2, line 3, the phrase "and derivatives thereof" renders the claim indefinite because there is no objective standard to determine which chemical species are derivatives of NAA and IBA.

In Claim 10, line 6, the phrase "and derivatives thereof" renders the claim indefinite because there is no objective standard to determine which chemical species are derivatives of NAA and IBA.

Art Unit: 3643

In Claim 19, line 5, the phrase "and derivatives thereof" renders the claim indefinite because there is no objective standard to determine which chemical species are derivatives of NAA and IBA.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2, 4, 5, 7, 10, 15, 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mehra-Palta (US 4,417,417).

As to Claim 1, Mehra-Palta discloses a method of treating distressed tree species (col. 1 lines 10-30; col. 11 lines 21-36) comprising creating a mixture of fertilizer (nutrients in GD medium, col. 11 lines 21-36; col. 10 lines 24-43) and a growth hormone (NAA, col. 11 lines 24-36) and applied to the root area of distressed tree (shoot of col. 11 lines 21-36).

As to Claim 2, Mehra-Palta further discloses NAA (col. 11 lines 21-36).

As to Claim 4, Mehra-Palta further discloses a mixture with at least one powder (defined as components of GD medium, col. 4 lines 5-32).

As to Claim 5, Mehra-Palta further discloses a mixture with at least one liquid (defined as the GD medium before it solidifies, col. 11 lines 21-36; col. 10 lines 24-43).

As to Claim 7, Mehra-Palta further discloses the fertilizer liquid (defined as the GD medium before it solidifies, col. 11 lines 21-36; col. 10 lines 24-43).

Art Unit: 3643

As to Claim 10, Mehra-Palta discloses a mixture for treating distressed tree species (col. 1 lines 10-30; col. 11 lines 21-36) comprising fertilizer (nutrients in GD medium, col. 11 lines 21-36; col. 10 lines 24-43) and a growth hormone, NAA (col. 11 lines 24-36).

As to Claim 15, Mehra-Palta further discloses the fertilizer liquid (defined as the GD medium before it solidifies, col. 11 lines 21-36; col. 10 lines 24-43).

As to Claims 22 and 23, Mehra-Palta discloses a kit for treating distressed tree species (col. 1 lines 10-30; col. 11 lines 21-36) comprising a mixture of fertilizer (nutrients in GD medium, col. 11 lines 21-36; col. 10 lines 24-43) and a growth hormone, NAA (col. 11 lines 24-36); and a container (container is inherent in the method disclosed at col. 11 lines 21-36).

As to Claim 24, Mehra-Palta further discloses instruction (inherent in the scientific method to record materials and methods used in research).

As to Claim 25, Mehra-Palta further discloses applying to the root area of distressed tree (shoot of col. 11 lines 21-36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 6, 8, 9, 11-14, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehra-Palta (US 4,417,417).

Art Unit: 3643

As to Claim 3, the limitations of Claim 1 are disclosed as described above. Not disclosed is the distressed tree a Post Oak. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Mehra-Palta by using Post Oak so as to clonally propagate this species when needed.

As to Claim 6, the limitations of Claim 1 are disclosed as described above. Not disclosed is the fertilizer with specific N,P, and K ranges as percent by weight. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Mehra-Palta by using the specific ranges of NPK in Claim 6 to optimize the system and promote healthy root growth.

As to Claims 8 and 9, the limitations of Claim 1 are disclosed as described above. Not disclosed is the use of a fungicide, tetramethylthiuramdisulfide. Examiner takes official notice that it is old and well known in the tissue culture art to use a fungicide in growth media to inhibit fungus growth. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Mehra-Palta by using a fungicide in the growth medium to inhibit fungus growth so as to enhance plant survival.

As to Claims 11-13, the limitations of Claim 10 are disclosed as described above. Not disclosed are the specific concentrations (by weight or dosage) of growth hormone of Claims 11-13. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the misture of Mehra-Palta by using the specific growth hormone concentrations of Claims 11-13 to optimize the system and promote healthy root growth.

As to Claim 14, the limitations of Claim 10 are disclosed as described above. Not disclosed is the fertilizer with specific N,P, and K ranges as percent by weight. It would have

Art Unit: 3643

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method

of Mehra-Palta by using the specific ranges of NPK in Claim 14 to optimize the system and

promote healthy root growth.

As to Claims 16 and 17, As to Claims 8 and 9, the limitations of Claim 1 are disclosed as

described above. Not disclosed is the use of a fungicide, tetramethylthiuramdisulfide. Examiner

takes official notice that it is old and well known in the tissue culture art to use a fungicide in

growth media to inhibit fungus growth. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Mehra-Palta by using a fungicide in

the growth medium to inhibit fungus growth so as to enhance plant survival.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18, 20, and 21 are allowed.

Claim19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. O'Neal and JP64-13006 disclose in the prior art the use a mizture of a fertilizer and

hormone but applied to the foliage of a plant. Meadows discloses the in the prior art applying a

compostion to the soil.

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Jeffrey L. Gellner whose telephone number is 703.305.0053. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 4:00 pm. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Peter Poon, can be reached at 703.308.2574. The fax phone numbers for the Technology Center where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.7687, 703.305.3597, and 703.306.4195.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

Jeffrey L. Gellner

h LAIL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMPLED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Port m. Por